A 3-Month Retrospective Analysis of Ab-Interno Canaloplasty with Phacoemulsification in Primary Open Angle Glaucoma

Richard D. Ten Hulzen, MD¹; Isabella V. Wagner, BS²; Patricia Bai, BA³; Johanny Lopez-Dominguez, BS³

¹ Assistant Professor, Department of Ophthalmology, Mayo Clinic Florida, Jacksonville, FL ² Glaucoma Clinical Researcher, Department of Ophthalmology, Mayo Clinic Florida, Jacksonville, FL ³ 4th-year Mayo Medical Student, Mayo Clinic Alix School of Medicine, College of Medicine and Science

The authors have no financial interest in the subject matter of this presentation



Glaucoma Treatments

- Goal: Intraocular pressure (IOP) reduction
 - IOP reduction is the only treatment that slows glaucomatous disease progression.
 - Initial IOP target(s) are:
 - \geq 20% to 50% reduction, or more if there is continued progression¹, or
 - an IOP \leq 14 mmHg²
- Goal: Achieve target IOP with least adverse effects and least medications using 3 key modalities:

1. Medications

- Key limitation: adverse effects, adherence (only 10% used drops without gaps over 1 year)³
- 2. Laser (e.g., Selective Laser Trabeculoplasty)
 - Key limitation: efficacy decreases over time⁴
- 3. Surgery (e.g., Trabeculectomy or Minimally Invasive Glaucoma Surgery (MIGS):
 - Trabs are the traditional gold standard surgery of creating an alternative aqueous outflow pathway
 - Angle-based MIGS attempt to improve the trabecular outflow pathway.
 - Key limitation: Trab complications, including blebitis (1.5%), endophthalmitis (1.1%) or hypotony (1.5%)⁵



Minimally Invasive Glaucoma Surgery (MIGS)

Q: Do newer techniques such as ab-interno canaloplasty (ABiC) work to achieve IOP targets and reduce medication burden for all stages of POAG?

- Preliminary studies suggest IOP lowering efficacy with ABiC:
 - 25.4% decrease at 12 months [Gallardo, 2018]⁶
 - 30.3% decrease at 12 months [Davids, 2019]⁷



Recent ABiC Studies

- 12 months post ABiC + gonioscopy-assisted transluminal trabeculotomy (GATT) [Habash, 2020]⁸
 - 20 eyes w/ POAG
 - 32.7% reduction in mean IOP
 - 67.6% reduction in anti-glaucoma medications
- 18 months post ABiC [Hughes, 2020]⁹
 - 89 eyes w/ POAG
 - 36% reduction in mean IOP (p<0.001)
 - 32% reduction in anti-glaucoma medications (p<0.05)
- 24 months post ABiC [Kazerounian, 2020]¹⁰
 - 25 eyes w/ POAG
 - 32.5% reduction in mean IOP
 - 80% of patients were off anti-glaucoma medications





 To compare the efficacy of Ab-Interno Canaloplasty and phacoemulsification (ABiC+IOL) performed on patients with well-controlled mild primary open angle glaucoma (POAG) to those with well-controlled moderate to severe or indeterminate (M+S) POAG.





- A retrospective chart review was conducted using medical records of wellcontrolled mild POAG eyes (n=31) and moderate to severe (M+S) POAG eyes (n=20) that underwent ABiC+IOL performed by the same surgeon.
- Patients with 3 months of follow-up data were reviewed.
- Adverse events including IOP elevation and hyphema were recorded.
- Paired Samples T-Test and Pearson's Chi-square test used for statistical analysis.



Results

- Mean well-controlled baseline IOP in:
 - Mild POAG cohort: 15.2 ± 4.2 mmHg
 - M+S POAG cohort: 13.9 ± 3.4 mmHg
- Mean IOP reduction 3 months post ABiC+IOL in:
 - Mild POAG cohort: \downarrow 1.5 mmHg (p=0.045)
 - M+S POAG cohort: \downarrow 1.0 mmHg (p=0.15)
 - IOP drop ≥ 20%: 35.5 % of mild vs 30 % of M+S (p=0.69)
 - IOP ≤ 14 mmHg: 67.7 % of mild vs 60 % of M+S (p=0.57)
- Mean medications reduced in:
 - Mild POAG cohort: 0.9 meds (p=3E-5)
 - M+S POAG cohort: 1.7 meds (p=2E-5)
 - \geq 1 med reduction: 61.3 % of mild vs 80 % of M+S (p=0.22)
- No adverse events in either group w/in first 3 months.



Of well-controlled POAG patients undergoing IOL+ABiC,

30-35% achieved \geq 20% further IOP reduction





Of well-controlled POAG patients undergoing IOL+ABiC,

60-68% achieved or maintained an IOP \leq 14 mmHg





Of well-controlled POAG patients undergoing IOL+ABiC,

61-80% reduced anti-glaucoma medication burden (by \geq 1 med)





Conclusions

- After 3 months, well-controlled POAG patients (regardless of severity) undergoing ABiC+IOL experienced:
 - No adverse events,
 - 30-35% achieved ≥ 20% further IOP reduction,
 - 60-68% achieved or maintained IOP \leq 14 mmHg, and
 - 61-80% achieved ≥ 1 anti-glaucoma medication reduction ("reduced medication burden") *statistically significant
- After 3 months, ABiC+IOL significantly reduced IOP in patients with mild POAG (1.5 mmHg, p=0.045, n=31).
- After 3 months, ABiC+IOL reduced IOP in patients with M+S POAG (1.0 mmHg, p=0.15, n=20).
 - While arguably *clinically* significant for M+S POAG patients, this IOP reduction did not achieve *statistical* significance.
 - However, the well-controlled M+S POAG cohort had a lower baseline IOP (13.9 ± 3.4 mmHg, vs 15.2 ± 4.2 mmHg) and smaller sample size.
- ABiC+IOL significantly lowered anti-glaucoma med burden (mild, 0.9 meds, p=3E-5; M+S, 1.7 meds, p=2E-5)
 - Minimizing issues of cost, toxicity, and non-adherence to topical glaucoma therapy.
- IOP reductions and lowered med burdens were achieved without pre-op anti-glaucoma medication washouts.
- 3-month study results suggests that IOL+ABiC is safe and highly effective for well-controlled POAG patients.
- Limitations: small sample size, short-term efficacy data, well-controlled POAG, no medication washout, w/ phaco





[1] Weinreb RN, Aung T, Medeiros FA. The Pathophysiology and Treatment of Glaucoma: A Review. JAMA. 2014;311(18):1901–1911. doi:10.1001/jama.2014.3192

[2] Jayaram H. Intraocular pressure reduction in glaucoma: Does every mmHg count? Taiwan J Ophthalmol. 2020 Oct 21;10(4):255-258. doi: 10.4103/tjo.tjo_63_20. PMID: 33437597; PMCID: PMC7787090.

[3] Friedman DS, Quigley HA, Gelb L, Tan J, Margolis J, Shah SN, Kim EE, Zimmerman T, Hahn SR. Using pharmacy claims data to study adherence to glaucoma medications: methodology and findings of the Glaucoma Adherence and Persistency Study (GAPS). Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2007 Nov;48(11):5052-7. doi: 10.1167/iovs.07-0290. PMID: 17962457.

[4] Garg A, Gazzard G. Selective laser trabeculoplasty: past, present, and future [published correction appears in Eye (Lond). 2020 Aug;34(8):1487]. *Eye (Lond)*. 2018;32(5):863-876. doi:10.1038/eye.2017.273

[5] Zahid S, Musch DC, Niziol LM, Lichter PR; Collaborative Initial Glaucoma Treatment Study Group. Risk of endophthalmitis and other long-term complications of trabeculectomy in the Collaborative Initial Glaucoma Treatment Study (CIGTS). *Am J Ophthalmol.* 2013;155(4):674-680.e1. doi:10.1016/j.ajo.2012.10.017

[6] Gallardo MJ, Supnet RA, Ahmed IIK. Circumferential viscodilation of Schlemm's canal for open-angle glaucoma: ab-interno vs ab-externo canaloplasty with tensioning suture. *Clin Ophthalmol.* 2018;12:2493-2498. Published 2018 Dec 5. doi:10.2147/OPTH.S178962

[7] Davids, AM., Pahlitzsch, M., Boeker, A. *et al.* Ab interno canaloplasty (ABiC)—12-month results of a new minimally invasive glaucoma surgery (MIGS). *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 257, 1947–1953 (2019). <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00417-019-04366-3</u>

[8] Al Habash A, Alrushoud M, Al Abdulsalam O, Al Somali Al, Aljindan M, Al Ahmadi AS. Combined Gonioscopy-Assisted Transluminal Trabeculotomy (GATT) with Ab Interno Canaloplasty (ABiC) in Conjunction with Phacoemulsification: 12-Month Outcomes. Clin Ophthalmol. 2020 Aug 25;14:2491-2496. doi: 10.2147/OPTH.S267303. PMID: 32943832; PMCID: PMC7457854.

[9] Hughes T, Traynor M. Clinical Results of Ab Interno Canaloplasty in Patients with Open-Angle Glaucoma. Clin Ophthalmol. 2020 Oct 29;14:3641-3650. doi: 10.2147/OPTH.S275087. PMID: 33154624; PMCID: PMC7605963.

MAYO CLINIC [10] Kazerounian S, Zimbelmann M, Lörtscher M, Hommayda S, Tsirkinidou I, Müller M. Canaloplasty ab interno (AbiC) - 2-Year-Results of a Novel Minimally Invasive Glaucoma Surgery (MIGS) Technique. Klin Monbl Augenheilkd. 2021 Oct;238(10):1113-1119. English ique. Klin Monbl Augenheilkd. 2021 Oct;238(10):1113-1119. English, German. doi: 10.1055/a-1250-8431. Epub 2020 Nov 17. PMID: 3320243